

If Sinclair Broadcasting forces their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election, then they are obligated to give equal time with equal viewership for a campaign commercial from the Kerry camp. To allow otherwise is against the public interest, and threatens the democratic legitimacy of the election. Personally, I would prefer that Sinclair drop the smear campaign all together as a statement of support for a fair election - but this raises 1st amendment concerns.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.